

Appl. No. 10/774,835  
Amdt. Dated April 11, 2007  
Reply to Office Action of January 11, 2007

Docket No. CE12323JAN

### Claim Status

Claims 1, 14, 15, and 18 have been rewritten. Claims 3, 6, and 7 had been cancelled. Claims 1-2, 4-5, and 8-19 remain in the application.

### REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-2, 4-5, and 8-19 were rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph.

Applicant had previously amended the claims to include the limitation that the resonant structure was not "conductively coupled" to any other structure. It was intended to indicate that the resonant structure was not connected by a conductor, i.e. a direct current (DC) path. While alternating current (AC) may "conduct" through a reactive coupling, DC will not. Accordingly, Applicant has amended the independent claims to indicate that the resonant structure is "isolated" as described in, for example, FIG. 1, which shows the resonant structure 102 physically isolated from any other conductive element. As will be appreciated, of course, a physical isolation will still allow for reactive coupling via a capacitive gap 144, 146, for example.

The Applicants believe that the subject application, as amended, is in condition for allowance. Such action is earnestly solicited by the Applicants. In the event that the Examiner deems the present application non-allowable, it is requested that the Examiner telephone the Applicant's attorney or agent at the number indicated below so that the prosecution of the present case may be advanced by the clarification of any continuing rejection.


The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fee due, or credit any overpayment, to Motorola, Inc., Deposit Account Number 50-2117.

Respectfully submitted,

SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Motorola, Inc.  
Law Department – MD 1610  
8000 W. Sunrise Blvd.  
Plantation, FL 33322  
Customer Number: 24,273

By: \_\_\_\_\_



Scott M. Garrett  
Attorney of Record  
Reg. No.: 39,988

Telephone: 954-723-6449  
Fax No.: 954-723-5599